

## GOVERNMENT SUBMISSION REQUEST FOR APPROVAL (Revised July 2019)

This request should be submitted to the President of ACTEC, Robert W. Goldman (rgoldman@gfsestatelaw.com), the chair of the Washington Affairs Committee William I. Sanderson (wsanderson@mcguirewoods.com), and the Executive Director of ACTEC (domckinnon@actec.org).

Ordinarily the ACTEC President will have been informed in advance that a government submission is under consideration or in preparation; such advance consultation is encouraged. In lieu of this form, any email communication that contains substantially the same information may be used.

1. The title or subject matter of the submission is:

---

---

2. This request is submitted by:

\_\_\_\_\_ Committee

Other - Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Principal contact(s):

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_ Email: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Will this person also be responsible for follow-up after the submission is made?

Yes

No - Who will be? \_\_\_\_\_

3. Does the subject matter affect other ACTEC committees, etc.? If so, which ones?

---

Have the other committee chairs agreed?  Yes  No - Explain.

---

4. To whom will the comments be submitted? (Check all that apply.)

Congress - Which Committee(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Treasury

Internal Revenue Service (I.R.S.) - Which office(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Other - Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Has Congress/Treasury/I.R.S./Other requested comments?

Yes, from ACTEC specifically - Explain.  Yes, from the public generally - Explain.

No - Explain why this subject is important and the timing is appropriate (e.g., proposed legislation, proposed regulations, other)?

---

---

6. What is the deadline for the submission? \_\_\_\_\_

7. If testimony is required, who will testify? \_\_\_\_\_

8. Will ACTEC work with other organizations? If so, who? \_\_\_\_\_

9. If there is an outline or draft, please check here and attach it.

10. What improvements in law will the submission encourage? (Check all that apply.)

Clarity	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Simplification	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Administrability by the government	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Fairness/consistency	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Certainty	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Predictability	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Stability	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily
Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Predominantly	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondarily

Explain all answers that are checked (either "Predominately" or "Secondarily").

11. Explain why the submission will not be "political," within the meaning of ACTEC's Policy on Governmental Relations (including identification of the "political" objectives the submission assumes)?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

12. Has there been a vote of the committee?  Yes  No - If no, please explain.

\_\_\_\_\_

If so, what was the vote?  In favor  Opposed  Other

If the opposition was (or is expected to be) significant, please explain.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

13. Are the comments likely to be controversial with ACTEC Fellows?  Yes  No

Are the comments likely to be controversial with government readers?  Yes  No

If Yes, in either case, please explain why the benefits of the submission justify the risk of controversy.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

I have read the ACTEC Statement of Policy on Governmental Relations. The proposed submission will comply with that policy.

Submitted by:

Name (print): \_\_\_\_\_, Fellow of ACTEC Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by, subject to review of the final submission:

\_\_\_\_\_, President of ACTEC Date: \_\_\_\_\_